

Best Research Poster	Points
<p><b>Organization</b> (Is the presentation logical and orderly?)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> – The poster was very well organized throughout and it flowed in a logical and orderly fashion.</p> <p><b>3 points</b>- The poster was generally well organized. However there were a few places where it got confusing and did not flow in a logical or orderly fashion making it somewhat hard to always see what the main point of the poster was.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – There was some organization to the poster. However, in MANY areas the poster did not flow in a logical and orderly way.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – By the time I was done with the poster, I understood what the main point was, but everything seemed jumbled.</p> <p><b>0 points.</b> The poster was not at all logical or orderly.</p>	
<p><b>Focus</b> (Does the poster have a clear and consistent focus. Do you understand the point the author is making?)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> –The poster had a clear and concise focus. I knew exactly what point the presenter was making.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – I understood the poster’s point, but I had some doubts along the way.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – I am fairly certain what point the presenter was trying to make, but I would like further clarification</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – I think I can figure out what the point of this poster was, but I shouldn’t have to work this hard.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> – I have no idea whatsoever as to what this person is talking about.</p>	
<p><b>Clarity and Design</b> (Poster easy to read and is error free. Images are of good quality. Text and visual design is clear)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> - The poster was very easy to read. The text was free of errors. Graphics were appropriate and clearly tied to the text.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – There was one or a few errors in grammar, spelling, and usage but they did not detract from the content. Good readability. Not all components are proportionate in size.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – There were several errors in grammar, spelling or usage. Some images were not appropriate or clearly tied to the text. Readability is lacking. Several components are not proportional in size</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – The poster was riddled with sloppy errors that detracted from the content. The layout was inconsistent and made comprehension difficult. Images were not tied to the text.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> – Problems with grammar, spelling, usage, and layout made this poster nearly incomprehensible.</p>	
<p><b>Quality of research</b> (Objective, methods, results, discussion)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> - The poster was clear and concise. Methods and results were easy to follow and understand. The discussion/conclusion clearly explained the results well and clearly addressed the objectives of the research.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – The poster was for the most part clear and concise. But the methods and results were unclear in a couple of places or the discussion/conclusion could have addressed the objectives a little better.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – The poster was not always clear and concise. The methods and results were unclear in many places or the discussion/conclusion could have addressed the objectives better.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – Methods and results were poorly presented or very weak. The discussion had little relationship to the results and it was hard to see how they addressed the objectives.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> –The presented research is completely illogical with no clear objectives, methods, results or discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Best Research Poster Points</b></p>	

Best Descriptive Poster	Points
<p><b>Organization</b> (Is the poster logical and orderly?)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> – The poster was very well organized throughout and it flowed in a logical and orderly fashion.</p> <p><b>3 points</b>- The poster was generally well organized. However there were a few places where it got confusing and did not flow in a logical or orderly fashion making it somewhat hard to always see what the main point of the poster was.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – There was some organization to the poster. However, in MANY areas the poster did not flow in a logical and orderly way.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – By the time I was done with the poster, I understood what the main point was, but everything seemed jumbled.</p> <p><b>0 points.</b> The poster was not at all logical or orderly.</p>	
<p><b>Focus</b> (Does the poster have a clear and consistent focus. Do you understand the point the author is making?)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> –The poster had a clear and concise focus. I knew exactly what point the presenter was making.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – I understood the poster’s point, but I had some doubts along the way.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – I am fairly certain what point the presenter was trying to make, but I would like further clarification</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – I think I can figure out what the point of this poster was, but I shouldn’t have to work this hard.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> – I have no idea whatsoever as to what this person is talking about.</p>	
<p><b>Clarity and Design</b> (Poster easy to read and is error free. Images are of good quality. Text and visual design is clear)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> - The poster was very easy to read. The text was free of errors. Graphics were appropriate and clearly tied to the text.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – There was one or a few errors in grammar, spelling, and usage but they did not detract from the content. Good readability. Not all components are proportionate in size.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – There were several errors in grammar, spelling or usage. Some images were not appropriate or clearly tied to the text. Readability is lacking. Several components are not proportional in size</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – The poster was riddled with sloppy errors that detracted from the content. The layout was inconsistent and made comprehension difficult. Images were not tied to the text.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> – Problems with grammar, spelling, usage, and layout made this poster nearly incomprehensible.</p>	
<p><b>Quality of descriptive research</b> (concise, descriptive, informative, cited correctly)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> - The poster was clear and concise. It was very descriptive and informative and was easy to follow and understand. Where necessary, all portions were cited accurately and in the correct format.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – The poster was for the most part clear and concise. However a few sections were not easy to follow or understand and not all portions were correctly cited.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – The poster was not clear and concise in several areas and was not very descriptive which took away from the amount of information that could be attained from it. Many portions were not correctly cited or citations varied in format.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> –The poster was not clear or concise in most parts. It was not very descriptive or informative and for the most part was lacking any citations.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> –The presented research is completely illogical and was in no way descriptive or informative. There were no citations.</p>	
<p><b>Best Descriptive Poster Points</b></p>	

Best Multimedia Project	Points
<p><b>Organization</b> (Is the presentation logical and orderly?)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> – The presentation was very well organized throughout and It flowed in a logical and orderly fashion.</p> <p><b>3 points</b>- The presentation was generally well organized. However there were a few places where it got confusing and did not flow in a logical or orderly fashion making it somewhat hard to always see what the main point of the poster was.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – There was some organization to the presentation. However, in MANY areas the poster did not flow in a logical and orderly way.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – By the time I was done with the presentation, I understood what the main point was, but everything seemed jumbled.</p> <p><b>0 points.</b> The presentation was not at all logical or orderly.</p>	
<p><b>Focus</b> (Does the presentation have a clear and consistent focus? Do you understand the point the author is making?)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> –The presentation had a clear and concise focus. I knew exactly what point the presenter was making.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – I understood the presentations point, but I had some doubts along the way.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – I am fairly certain what point the presenter was trying to make, but I would like further clarification</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – I think I can figure out what the point of this presentation was, but shouldn't have to work this hard.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> – I have no idea whatsoever as to what this person is talking about.</p>	
<p><b>Clarity and Design</b> (Presentation easy to read and is error free. Images and video are of good quality. Text and visual design is clear)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> - The presentation was very easy to follow and was free of errors. Graphics and images were appropriate and clearly tied to the video content.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – There was one or a few errors in content, grammar usage, and text (if present) but they did not detract from the content. Relatively easy to follow.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – There were several errors in content, grammar usage, and text (if present) Some images or graphics were not appropriate or clearly tied to the presentation. Somewhat difficult to follow.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> – The presentation was riddled with sloppy errors that detracted from the content. Comprehension was difficult. Images and graphics were not tied to the presentation</p> <p><b>0 points</b> – Multiple problems made this presentation incomprehensible.</p>	
<p><b>Quality of descriptive research</b> (concise, descriptive, informative, cited correctly)</p> <p><b>4 points</b> - The presentation was clear and concise. It was very descriptive and informative and was easy to follow and understand. Where necessary, all portions were cited accurately and in the correct format.</p> <p><b>3 points</b> – The presentation was for the most part clear and concise. However a few sections were not easy to follow or understand and not all portions were correctly cited.</p> <p><b>2 points</b> – The presentation was not clear and concise in several areas and was not very descriptive which took away from the amount of information that could be attained from it. Many portions were not correctly cited or citations varied in format.</p> <p><b>1 point</b> –The presentation was not clear or concise in most parts. It was not very descriptive or informative and for the most part was lacking any citations.</p> <p><b>0 points</b> –The presented research is completely illogical and was in no way descriptive or informative.</p>	
<p><b>Best Multimedia Project Points</b></p>	